

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

17 MAR 2005

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

To:

Bowman Gilfillan
(John & Kernick)
P O Box 3511
Halfway House
Midrand 1685
AFRIQUE DU SUD

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

10-20-2005
RECEIVED

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) 20.01.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference
P15498PC00

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.
PCT/IB 03/04186

International filing date (day/month/year)
15.09.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)
17.09.2002

Applicant
CRUNDWELL, Frank Kenneth

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Novoa, C

Tel. +49 89 2399-2718



PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P15498PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/B 03/04186	International filing date (day/month/year) 15.09.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.09.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C22B3/18		
Applicant CRUNDWELL, Frank Kenneth		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.
 - ☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 1 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
 - I ☒ Basis of the opinion
 - II ☐ Priority
 - III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
 - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
 - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
 - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 08.04.2004	Date of completion of this report 20.01.2005
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Bjoerk, P Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8452 

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/IB 03/04186**

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17):*

Description, Pages

1-11 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-5 as originally filed

6-13 filed with telefax on 27.08.2004

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
☐ the claims, Nos.:
☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/IB 03/04186**

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-13
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-13
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-13
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/IB 03/04186

1. The application relates to a method of introducing microorganisms into a heap for bio-assisted heap leaching.

The method solves the problem of poor penetration of microorganisms in a large heap by suppressing the blocking mechanism of the exopolymeric skin of the microorganisms.

2. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-6 383 458

D2: MUNOZ J A ET AL: 'A study of the bioleaching of a Spanish uranium ore. Part I: A review of the bacterial leaching in the treatment of uranium ores' HYDROMETALLURGY, ELSEVIER SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING CY. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 38, no. 1, 1 May 1995 (1995-05-01), pages 39-57, ISSN: 0304-386X

D3: MACLEOD F A ET AL: "PLUGGING OF A MODEL ROCK SYSTEM BY USING STARVED BACTERIA" APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, WASHINGTON,DC, US, vol. 54, no. 6, June 1988 (1988-06), pages 1365-1372, ISSN: 0099-2240

D1 is cited in the present application on page 2 and relates to bio-assisted heap leaching of sulfur-containing ore materials. In order to support the microorganisms, a nutrient solution or leachant is dripped into or sprinkled onto the heap (col.16, l.50-52). In addition, air and possibly carbon dioxide may also be sparged into the leachant solution or injected into the heap (col.19, l.17-21).

D2 discloses bioleaching of Spanish uranium ore. D2 teaches in particular that the nature of the country rock is important for an efficient leaching as it has to supply nutrients such as sulphides, iron, phosphates, nitrogen, organic substances and micro-elements (paragraph bridging pages 48 and 50).

D3 is also cited in the present application on page 2.

3. The method of claim 1 is not described neither in D1, nor D2, nor in any of the other documents cited in the International Search Report. It is known from D3 to starve microorganisms in order to suppress the exopolymer production and thus allow the microorganisms to penetrate deeper into petroleum reservoirs. By reactivation of the exopolymer production, the microorganisms are used for

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/IB 03/04186

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

plugging the reservoir. It is not seen as an obvious measure to apply this technology to the heap leaching of ores of D1 as the later aim of the microorganisms in the heap is not to plug it but to assist the leaching.

Consequently, novelty and inventive step can be recognized for the subject matter of claim 1 and by consequence for that of claims 2 to 13 which are dependent of it (Art.33(2) and (3) PCT).

4. The subject matter of claim 13 does not fulfill the requirement of Rule 6.2(b) PCT as it refers to another part of the application.